

N E Lincolnshire's Exploitation Risk Assessment Matrix

Young Person's Information:					
Name	Joe Bloggs	D.O.B	10.07.2002	Age	17
Address	123, Granville Street Grimsby N E Lincolnshire DN32 8HN	Locality	East Marsh	Category of exploitation	CCE
		Date Referred	18.10.2019	Referral by (agency)	Amy Smitherson Social Worker Children & Family Services

Identity:									
Ethnicity	White	Nationality	British	Gender	Male	Sexual Identity	Heterosexual	Disability	Dyslexia

Current Living situation:											
At home	<input type="checkbox"/>	Living with other family member	<input type="checkbox"/>	Foster care	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Residential care	<input type="checkbox"/>	Semi / Independent Living	<input type="checkbox"/>	Homeless	<input type="checkbox"/>

Agency Involvement:													
Not Open	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Help	<input type="checkbox"/>	Family First	<input type="checkbox"/>	CIN	<input type="checkbox"/>	CP	<input type="checkbox"/>	CLA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Other LA	<input type="checkbox"/>

Education:											
School / College	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative Provision	<input type="checkbox"/>	PRU	<input type="checkbox"/>	Employed	<input type="checkbox"/>	NEET	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Criminal Justice Issues:													
Number of arrests in the last 12 months	2	Number of arrests with have resulted in charge in the last 12 months	1	Open to the Out Of Court/ Diversion Team	<input type="checkbox"/>	Open to YOS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Name of workers (if known)	Lisa Armstrong	Known to carry weapons	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

Indicator	Score	Review Score	Final Score		Score	Review Score	Final Score
1. Episodes of missing from home/care/school	4			10. Mental Health / Physical Health Concerns	4		
2. School/College attendance	4			11. Risk to Others	4		
3. Individual Needs	1			12. Sexual Harm Risk	1		
4. Accommodation	4			13. Ability to Safeguard	4		
5. Home Relationships	3			14. Substance Use in Carers/Family	1		
6. Peer Association	4			15. Physical and Mental Health Carers/Family	3		
7. Misuse of Drugs or Alcohol	4			16. Criminality in Carers/Family	1		
8. Ability to identify exploitive behaviour	4			17. Carer's engagement with appropriate services	2		
9. Behaviour	4						
Total Assessment Score:							

Assessment Score:	52			Professional Judgement Score:	9			Overall Score:	61		
--------------------------	----	--	--	--------------------------------------	---	--	--	-----------------------	----	--	--

RAG Rating											
High (50+)	61			Medium (25-49)				Low (0-24)			

When previously reviewed on this young person had a risk score of

Since the last review, this assessment shows the risk to this young person has

Increased	Decreased	Stayed the same
------------------	------------------	------------------------

Circle either 0,1,2,3 or 4 on each of the seventeen categories which best describes the current situation for the young person. The score for each section should be recorded on the front summary page and added to the score you give for professional judgment which will give an overall total.

1. Episodes of missing from home/care/school:		Multi-agency evidence:
0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No missing episodes. 	<p>Please provide the number of times missing in the last 12 months? Where do they go? Why do they go? Is carer aware of missing episodes / whereabouts? Does the carer report child as missing?</p> <p>Joe has a history of being reported missing. He has come in care of the local authority following an assault that occurred against his brother and was placed with a foster carer in the local area. Prior to this he lived in the family home but there were concerns that parents were sometimes but not always reporting Joe missing when he wasn't coming home. Since he has been in foster care there have been 9 separate missing episodes. During one of the episodes he was missing for 4 days and another young person told their teacher that Joe was alleged to have travelled to Manchester, he claimed he had seen a picture of him on Facebook. Joe denies having been to Manchester but there is a strong suggestion that when Joe is going missing he is leaving the local area.</p>
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stays out late, no real concerns 	
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Frequent but short episodes 	
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Frequent and prolonged episodes 	
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Young person has previously been found out of area when missing 	

2.School/College attendance:		Multi-agency evidence:
0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Engaged / re-engaged in education or training, or In work or actively seeking employment 	<p>Any current or previous prosecution for school attendance? What services are involved with improving school attendance? What is their attendance? Any change in attendance? Any change in attitude to attending education?</p> <p>Please provide the number of fixed and permanent exclusions in the last 12 months</p> <p>Please provide number of absences in the last 12 months</p> <p>Joe is NEET and not actively looking for employment. He has told professionals that he has an aspiration to become a drug dealer as he can earn the most money doing something like this.</p>
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is participating in education or employment but attendance is a concern. Carer engaging with services to improve attendance. 	
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is on a reduced timetable, or Is persistently absent from school, or Sudden noticeable change in attendance, performance or behaviour at school Carer's engagement with services to improve attendance but no evidence of improvement. 	
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Young person is not attending school or is a NEET Young person is showing an interest in accessing opportunities. 	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Career showing limited engagement with services. 	Joe's school attendance was also poor and he left without any qualifications. He was permanently excluded from mainstream provision in Year 8 and was placed at a number of the PRU's in the area. However, his behaviour continued to be disruptive and he was never encouraged to attend by his parents. His mother claimed to be too scared of him 'kicking off'.
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Young person is not attending school or is a NEET • Shows no interest in accessing educational or training opportunities. • No engagement from carer. 	There are concerns that Joe is out of the house all day but not engaged in training or employment and therefore has nothing constructive to do during this period.

3. Individual Needs:		Multi-agency evidence:
0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Young person has not been identified as having a learning need or SEND • Carer actively supports child 	Details of SEND / Learning Needs? EHCP? Support in place? Professionals are concerned that Joe could have SEND difficulties and attempts have been made historically to complete further assessment but due to poor attendance and a lack of engagement this has not occurred and Joe does not have an EHCP in place. When Joe was of compulsory school age he was recorded as School Action Plus for behavioural difficulties. Professionals have recorded in previous assessments that Joe struggles to sit for long period of time and has a short attention span.
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerns raised by professionals/carers and consideration being made to assess learning need /SEND • Carer actively supports child's learning need 	
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Young person undergoing pathway/diagnosed with SEND or Other • Parent supports child's learning need 	
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Young person undergoing pathway/ diagnosed with SEND • Young person receiving support • Carer is not supporting child's learning need 	
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Young person diagnosed with SEND • Young person not receiving/accepting support • Carer shows no interest in child's development/support needs 	

4. Accommodation:		Multi-agency evidence:
0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Young person is satisfied with accommodation & meets young person's needs • No concerns from professionals 	Who does the young person reside with? Housing provider? Extent of overcrowding? Arrears? Joe is placed with Mrs Mogg. A Foster Carer on Dugard Road in Grimsby. However, she has explained that she finds it extremely difficult to keep Joe in
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Young person is generally satisfied with accommodation • Meets most of the young person's needs • Some concerns about longer term stability 	

2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unstable or unsuitable accommodation. • Young person & assessor are not satisfied with accommodation • Overcrowded 	<p>the home and he tends to come in for a shower and some sleep but will leave again. Joe tells professionals that he thinks Mrs Mogg is 'alright' but is of the opinion he is nearly 18 and shouldn't have to stay in with someone he believes is just a stranger. When Joe is out of the home his whereabouts is unknown and there are substantial concerns about where he could be given police intelligence and his current associates.</p>
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Frequent placement changes • Temporary accommodation 	
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Homeless / unknown whereabouts • Young person is not residing where they should be and there are significant concerns in relation to where they are. 	

5. Home Relationships:		Multi-agency evidence:
0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Positive relationships and good communication • Age appropriate boundaries & routines set by carer & adhered to 	<p>Any financial difficulties? Any known / suspected domestic abuse? Any recent deaths in the family? Sexual abuse?</p> <p>Joe's father left when he was 8 years old and he took this really badly and would blame his mother for his departure. His brother, Seth, would stick up for his mother and subsequently this resulted in them regularly arguing and fighting and Joe feeling quite isolated in the family home. This would manifest in anger with regular violent outbursts against his mother and brother.</p> <p>Mother stated that she would try and impose age appropriate boundaries for Joe but that he would not adhere and would become aggressive if she tried to challenge. In the end she stated she 'gave up' and found it easier to let him do what he wanted. Mother stated that Joe has witnessed his father be abusive towards her but that he still blamed her for him not being present in his life.</p> <p>Joe is currently residing in a foster placement but has not been present enough to form any positive relationships. Mrs Mogg is a single woman and it's suggested that he may develop a similar attitude towards her with a lack of respect for rules and boundaries enforced.</p>
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some mutual understanding and positive relationships. • Age appropriate boundaries & routines set by carer but not always adhered to 	
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sudden negative change in quality of relationship, poor communication, strained relationship • Carer starting to show signs of not having capacity to input & maintain boundaries / consequences and challenge and behaviour 	
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poor or negative communication with young person not responding to boundaries, routines or consequences • Historic abuse / neglect in family • Lack of positive role model • Family disorganisation 	
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Current / suspected abuse / neglect in the family • Poor communication, limited warmth, attachment or trust. • Carer does not implement age appropriate boundaries or recognise negative behaviour. Does not have the capacity to respond • Chaotic & very disorganised family 	

6. Peer Association:		Multi-agency evidence:
0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Engaged in positive activities 	What services is the young person known to? Who are peers /

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Positive role models • May have some contact with vulnerable peers but has other positive networks 	<p>suspected peers? Age range of peers? Intelligence from Police? Extra familial harm (are associates identified as causing imminent risk to yp?)</p> <p>Joe is known to be associating with a number of young people in the area linked to County Lines drug dealing. These are;</p> <p>Jarred Smith 24 years Oliver Blackburn 23 years Connor Cross 17 years Kaleb Hollington 14 years</p> <p>Two of these peers (Jarred and Oliver) are from the Cheshire area but currently residing in Grimsby. There is police intelligence to suggest they are linked to OCG's in the Cheshire and Liverpool area and professionals are increasingly concerned that they could be exploiting Joe to run drugs in the local area.</p> <p>Connor Cross has been a close friend of Joe for a number of years and is also linked to CCE and offending behaviour in the community.</p> <p>Kaleb Hollington is somewhat younger than Joe and has a formal diagnosis of Asperger's Syndrome. There are significant concerns that Joe and his peers could be using Kaleb and exploiting him in their lifestyle but he is extremely closed and unwilling to speak to any professionals. Kaleb was recently arrested and found in a car with older males and a kinder egg was located under the foot well with what is suspected to be class A drugs (heroin and crack cocaine). Kaleb provided a no comment interview but police did not complete an NRM (National Referral Mechanism). There is a concern that one should have been completed at this stage due to his age and the concerns they had for potential Child Criminal Exploitation.</p>
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some awareness of criminal activity in their area • Surrounds self with mostly age appropriate and positive peers • Starting to disengage with positive activities 	
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Starting to associate with problematic peer group • Coming to the attention of services • Starting to engage with ASB • Not engaging in positive activities 	
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is engaging in ASB and is known to services • Peers who are using substances • Peers who are known by criminal justice agencies • Spending more time with peers in the community • Peers identified at risk of CCE/CSE • Secrecy around peers 	
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence of peers actively involved in CCE/CSE • Associating with known criminal / gun crime nominals • Links to Organised Crime Groups • Young person is or is suspected to be involved in a gang/group • Imminent risk of harm through associates 	

7. Misuse of Drugs or Alcohol:		Multi-agency evidence:
0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No concerns 	<p>Where do they use substances? How do they fund it? Who with? Type / class of substance? Concerns around peer influences?</p> <p>Joe will tell his parents and professionals that he smokes cannabis. However, his mother claimed before he moved out that he was regularly presenting more under the influence and she was concerned he had started to experiment with other substances. Again, when she challenged him he would become angry.</p> <p>Joe's YOS worker has made a referral to Addaction but he is not engaging with his order and subsequently no progress has been made.</p> <p>Joe has previously told professionals he has an aspiration to become a drug dealer which is of concern and he is linked to others suspected to be involved in the supply of Class A drugs. When he was arrested after assaulting his brother he was found in possession of cannabis and had £500 in cash. He claimed his grandmother had given him some money for his birthday but his mother stated that this was not true.</p>
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some concerns about drugs or alcohol (or cigarettes in younger children) Started to associate with negative peer influence where substance use is suspected. 	
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Suspected problematic substance use Increasing concerns around substance use 	
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Substance use known & part of daily life Not known how substances are financed Found in possession of cannabis [only once] Sporadic engagement with support services 	
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Young person is dependent on alcohol / drugs Found in possession of class A substances Found in possession of cannabis more than once Suspected of the movement & selling of drugs Obtains drugs from older peers / family members Not engaging with support services 	

8. Ability to identify exploitive behaviour:		Multi-agency evidence:
0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Young person has a good understanding of exploitative behaviour and can use it to keep themselves safe 	<p>Evidence of understanding and young person's ability to keep themselves safe, for example the completion of interventions.</p> <p>Lisa at YOS has attempted to complete some intervention around exploitation, what this is and the risks but he has failed to attend for his scheduled appointments. Lisa has shared this information with the social worker to inform the exploitation screening tool. It's suggested that Joe would benefit from a referral to the GRAFT Team who can tailor their intervention</p>
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reasonable understanding of exploitative behaviour Able to somewhat apply knowledge to keep themselves safe 	
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some understanding of exploitative behaviour. Can recognise risks but unable to apply to themselves to keep safe 	
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Very limited recognition of exploitative behaviour and unable to keep themselves safe 	

4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No recognition of exploitative behaviour • The young person's carer cannot identify or recognise the risk of exploitation • Not safe 	<p>specifically around CCE.</p> <p>When Joe's mother has tried to ask him about his peers and what he may be involved in he becomes aggressive and tells he she doesn't know what she is talking about.</p> <p>Joe describes his peers as his 'family' and it's argued that he is not able to recognise exploitative behaviour from those peers older than him. Joe has limited legitimate means but he is always well presented, in designer clothing, has been seen with different mobile phones and able to get taxis from various locations. All of which raises further concerns and indicators for potential exploitation and drug dealing.</p>
---	--	---

9. Behaviour:		Multi-agency evidence:
0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No identified behavioural concerns raised by professionals or carer 	<p>What are the concerns? What support is in place? Details of previous arrests and/or convictions</p> <p>Joe was arrested for Shop Theft but afforded the opportunity to work with the out of court diversion team in the East Marsh Hub. Unfortunately, the assault against his brother was a further offence and he went to court for this and received a 6 month Referral Order. Joe's engagement on this order is poor and he is currently in breach and expected back in court again next week. His case manager has stated that should he continue to breach or re-offend then it's likely his current order will be revoked and he will need to be re-sentenced.</p> <p>There are major concerns that Joe could end up in custody with serious drug</p>
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Started to display negative behaviour in school / home / community • Support in place 	
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Starting to come to the attention of Police and services within the community/home due to negative behaviour • Targeted support being accessed – impact evident 	
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Child known to Police Neighbourhood Teams and services due to negative behaviour within community/home • Targeted support being accessed - impact not evident based on behaviour 	
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Child well known to Police and other professionals • Targeted support being offered – not engaging 	

	<p>offences due to the police intelligence around his running drugs and those he is linked and associating with.</p> <p>Social Worker is going to complete an NRM utilising the information held currently to establish whether the Home Office would provide a reasonable grounds decision in relation to Child Exploitation. This would then provide an opportunity for Modern Day Slavery legislation to be explored if Joe ever found himself in police custody and was willing to divulge information to the police to support other offences.</p>
--	---

10. Mental Health / Physical Health Concerns:		Multi-agency evidence:
0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No known physical or mental health needs identified via assessment process/disclosure/professional knowledge 	<p>Evidence of self-harm and mental health? Services being offered?</p> <p>It's suggested that Joe has never accepted or dealt with the loss of his relationship with his father and that in turn he has been seeking male attachment and role models. Joe will tell people that his mother only cares about his brother Seth and when discussing his family relationships he becomes increasingly frustrated. Joe's mother stated that she has seen letters in his bedroom from when he was younger writing to his father asking why he left him and questioning if he did something wrong. His mother did take Joe to the GP when he was aged 13 when his behaviour was becoming progressively worse and they made a referral to Young Minds Matters (previously CAMHS). Unfortunately, due to lack of engagement Joe's case was discharged. Mother states that Joe keeps everything to himself. Joe identified his best friend Connor as his support network and 'more like a brother' but it's worrying that Connor has strong links to county lines drug dealing and the impact this could be having on Joe. Joe's substance misuse is also considered to be a risk factor for his emotional wellbeing as he becomes increasingly angry when he has not smoked cannabis and his mother suspects his increased violence is linked to him potentially experimenting with other substances at the present time.</p>
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Known to health services Some missed appointments Universal health/support services accessed 	
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some physical and mental health concerns Increased need to access health appointments Targeted health services support accessed and parent engaging 	
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Frequent use of health services Decline in physical and mental health wellbeing Sporadically accessing support services – carer not fully engaged 	
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diagnosed illness or mental health condition Health appointments missed and support services not accessed Carer not engaging with services Regular hospital admissions/treatments 	

		As outlined earlier Joe presented at A&E with lacerations and was not able to provide an explanation. There are concerns these injuries were inflicted upon him and concerns in relation to the trauma this could have suffered.
--	--	--

11. Risk to Others:		Multi-agency evidence:
0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No concerns about placing others at risk. 	<p>What are the risks? Risk of serious harm to others?</p> <p>Joe is associating with a number of others who have strong links to violence and weapons in the community. Joe's mother told the social worker that she once found a knuckle duster under his pillow and she fears what he is up to in the community.</p> <p>Joe has a history of violence and aggression within the family home and is currently subject to Referral Order for an assault against his brother.</p> <p>There have also been snap chat screen shots shared where by Joe has threatened to 'stab you up' to another young person known to the Youth Offending Service.</p> <p>There was previously a threat made by Dillon Peterson (adult also linked to OCG) that he was going to firebomb Joe's mother's address if he did not repay a drug debt.</p> <p>The Youth Offending Service have assessed Joe to be a high risk of serious harm to others in the community at this current time.</p> <p>There are also concerns that Kaleb is extremely young and vulnerable and accessible to the risk taking behaviour Joe is involved in presently.</p>
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduced concerns about influence on others. 	
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some concerns raised about influence on others. 	
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerns raised that young person may be exposing others to risk 	
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Places others at risk. 	

12. Sexual Harm Risk:		Multi-agency evidence:
0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No concerns 	<p>Are there concerns around use of technology, social media, mobile phones?</p>
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> At risk of or has been groomed 	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence of inappropriate sexual relationships • Associating with other sexually exploited children 	<p>Has sexual exploitation previously been identified as a specific issue for this child?</p> <p>Joe is alleged to be in a relationship with Louise Redgraves who is a 15 year old female also linked to CSE and CCE. Louise previously told a teacher that she had been made to perform sexual acts in return for substances but did not tell the teacher who the perpetrator was. There were concerns she could have been referring to Joe but this is not confirmed.</p> <p>Another young person known to services and linked to the OCG's Joe is linked to be was arrested and found in possession of an amount of drugs which had been concealed and found inside a Kinder Egg which was located inside the young person's body. There is a concern that if Joe is being asked/made to participate in similar movements that he is equally at risk of CSE particularly if adults are involved in the positioning. Again, there is no current evidence to suggest this is taking place for Joe but it's certainly a risk factor to consider.</p>
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overt sexualised dress • Concealed/ concerning use of the internet • Evidence of sexual bullying and/or vulnerability through the internet and/or social networking sites 	
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Associating or is in a relationship with significantly older men or women • Physical or emotional abuse by a boyfriend /girlfriend, controlling adult or peer including use of manipulation, violence and/or threats • Frequenting areas known for on/off street sex work and/or CSE hotspots • Has had or has sexually transmitted infections, pregnancy or termination 	
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Previous sexual abuse • Reports young person has been trafficked for the purpose of sexual exploitation • Child under 13 engaging in penetrative sex with someone over 15 years • Child meeting different adults and exchanging or 'selling' sexual activity • Disclosure of sexual/physical assault followed by withdrawal of allegation 	

Carers and Family Section

13. Ability to Safeguard:		Multi-agency evidence:
0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Carer recognises risks and will report young person as missing • Carer has a good knowledge of exploitation 	<p>If identified at risk of significant harm through extra familial harm - is young person open to statutory services and are appropriate safeguarding measures taking place?</p>
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Carer will mostly report young person as missing • Carer has some knowledge of exploitation 	

2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Carer needs to be prompted to report young person as missing • Carer has limited understanding of exploitation • Carer is engaging or asking for support from services 	<p>Joe was referred to Children and Family Services by the police when he presented in police custody with bail conditions that he could not return to the family home. Prior to this he was open to Early Help, East Marsh Locality Hub on an out of court diversion following a Shop Theft he had committed in Freshney Place. However, following assessment and an incident whereby Joe presented at A&E with lacerations to his hands a strategy meeting was convened and a Section 47 investigation is ongoing due to professionals concern that these injuries could be linked to CCE.</p> <p>Joe will not tell anyone how the injuries were incurred and his mother fears if he continues in the lifestyle he is that she is going to receive a phone call to say he is dead. It's assessed that Joe is currently at risk of significant harm from harm outside the family home and professionals are taking a contextualised safeguarding approach working in partnership with police and other agencies to try and disrupt the group Joe is part of and the locations they are linked to.</p>
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Carer fails to report young person as missing • Carer struggles to understand the risk around missing and exploitation • Sporadic or limited engagement with services 	
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Carer doesn't have knowledge of exploitation • Parent/carers aware of exploitative behaviour but unable to keep young person safe • Carer fails to report young person as missing and to recognise the risk of missing episodes • Disengagement from services 	

14. Substance Use in Carers/Family:		Multi-agency evidence:
0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No concerns 	<p>Where do they use substances? How do they fund it? Type / class of substance? Impact on parenting?</p> <p>Joe has reported historically that his mother was 'always drunk'. This is something she denies but stated when his father left her alcohol intake did increase and stated this was a coping mechanism for her. She denies having any issues with alcohol at present and there is no current evidence to suggest this is a concern.</p> <p>Mother stated that father did have a history of substance misuse, including alcohol and cocaine but she claimed her children were not aware of this. She believes that this led to some depression for him at times and believes this impacted on his level of aggression towards her in the home.</p>
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some concerns regarding possible use of substances • Historic concerns around substance use but addressed and managed via support services 	
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suspected problematic substance use • Carer recognises potential impact on family and has agreed to access support services 	
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Substance use known • Appears dependent on substances and part of daily life • Not known how drugs are financed • Support services accessed but sporadic engagement/impact not evident 	
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Carer is dependent on substances 	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support services not being accessed/disengaged 	There are no concerns in relation to current foster carer in relation to substance misuse.
--	--	--

15. Physical and Mental Health in Carers/Family:		Multi-agency evidence:
0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No known physical or mental health needs identified 	<p>What are the health concerns? How do they impact young person / family?</p> <p>Mother reports that she suffered with depression when Joe's father left and was subscribed medication from her GP. She stated that she was referred to counselling but did not attend appointments and was discharged. She stated that she didn't feel she needed it.</p> <p>Mother sated that Joe's dad suffered with his emotions and would respond with violence and aggression. She is of the opinion Joe has inherited similar traits to his father.</p> <p>There are no concerns in terms of foster placement and mental health concerns currently.</p>
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Known to some health services • Some missed appointments 	
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some physical / mental health concerns lifestyle related • Accessing support 	
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Frequent use of health services • Impacting on emotional well-being and family outcomes • Increased need to access health appointments • Accessing support services – sporadic contact 	
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chronic illness –impacting on parenting capacity • Mental health diagnosed- support services not accessed • Stress impacting on family relationships and significant impact on emotional wellbeing – possible due to lack of access to services • Regular hospital admissions/treatments impact on parental capacity • Known/unknown young carers responsibilities impacting on child and siblings • Health appointments missed 	

16. Criminality in Carers/Family:		Multi-agency evidence:
0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No concerns 	<p>Further details of criminality? Police and/or Probation checks completed? Intelligence?</p> <p>There is no current information to suggest parents are involved in criminal behaviour.</p> <p>A Police and Probation check were carried out which detailed that father was previously subject to a Community Order with Probation following an Assault against Joe's mother. This was completed successfully.</p>
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Historically known to probation and demonstrates no current concerns • No information to suggest that the carer/family member is engaged in criminal activity or ASB 	
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Historically known to probation but concerns around possible re-offending/ASB • Historic criminality (guns, gangs and drugs supply) 	
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Open to probation and engaging in support services to address re- 	

	offending behaviour <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerns around suspected involvement in guns, gangs or drugs supply Carer/family member in custody 	There are no concerns in relation to criminality from current foster carer.
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Carer/family member known nominal to services Evidence suggests involvement in guns, gangs or drugs supply Carer/family member in custody Carer/family member open to probation but not engaging/suspected disguised compliance 	

17. Carer's engagement with appropriate services:		Multi-agency evidence:
0	Good engagement	Disguised compliance? Whilst mother clearly cares and worries for her son it's suggested her engagement with professionals is inconsistent. When Joe was living at home he would not be reported as a missing person and she would not actively contact his out of court worker. Mother has engaged with the assessment by social care but has been quite vocal that she does not want Joe to return to the family home because of the risk he poses to herself and Seth. Mother's own engagement with services around her mental health was also inconsistent in that she had the medication but would not continue with the counselling element. There are concerns that mother's fear of Joe and her own emotional wellbeing could also impact on how much she details to professionals.
1	Reasonable engagement , regular contact	
2	Some engagement with services, occasional contact.	
3	Brief engagement with service: early stages or sporadic contact	
4	Not engaging with service / no contact	

Professional Judgement

***Please score this section 1-10 (10 being a higher risk) and carry the score forward to your overall score ***

Use this section to provide an analysis of what the information you have from all agencies is telling you about the young person and their life. Highlight any concerns that have been raised which add to the young person's vulnerability such as recent bereavement, domestic abuse, mental health issues, low self-esteem, learning disabilities etc. Any concerns from professionals around frequent changes of mobile phones, new items of clothing or money that is unaccounted for. Also consider: Is this a repeat referral? Any information that is missing from agencies? Other information which indicates risk.

There are clearly significant concerns in relation to Joe's engagement in CCE at present through his missing episodes, intelligence to suggest he has been out of area, his peer associations, his increased levels of violence, his presentation (money, new clothing, mobile phones etc) and police intelligence to link him to a potential OCG in the area. I am scaling my professional concern at a 9 due to the fact he is not engaging with professionals, has reported with visible injuries and his family relationships have broken down. I think that Joe needs to be discussed at OVM and it's hoped that a referral to GRAFT can be made.

Total Score: 61 /78

<u>SCORE</u>	<u>Risk Level</u>	<u>Response</u>
0-24	Low	Early Help
25-49	Medium	Vulnerabilities FFP, YOS lead Practitioner, Social Worker, GRAFT
50+	High	Social Worker, GRAFT

Exploitation Risk Reduction Plan – to be completed at OVM Meeting

Name: Joe Bloggs

DOB: 10.07.02

Category: CCE

Risk Level: High

Date: 08.11.19

What are we worried about?

1. Information to suggest Joe is going missing and going out of area
2. Worried Joe could be getting hurt and at risk of future harm through exploiters
3. Family relationships have broken down
4. Joe's relationship with his girlfriend
5. Joe's inability to manage his emotions
6. Joe is not engaged in training or employment
7. Threat to firebomb mum's house

<u>Actions to be taken on how to reduce the risk. It is useful to think of what are the risks and then outline below what the response will be to reduce the risk.</u>	<u>Person/Service Responsible</u>	<u>Timescales</u>	<u>Completed Yes / No</u>
Prepare (Profile)			
GRAFT to support mum on how to respond to the effects of exploitation on Joe and herself	GRAFT	Ongoing	
Prevent			
YOS to complete work to increase Joe's understanding of the risks he places himself in and how this will affect his future.	YOS	Ongoing as part of	

<p>GRAFT to complete Alfie's Story and Which Way to education around the risks of CCE</p> <p>Joe to receive intervention around healthy relationships as part of his YOS intervention plan</p>	<p>GRAFT</p> <p>YOS</p>	<p>Referral Order – work to be prioritised due to risk</p> <p>Within 2 weeks</p> <p>Within 1 month</p>	
Protect			
<p>NRM Assessment to be completed and referred to the Home Office</p> <p>The police to be informed and a high risk marker placed on the address</p> <p>Fire Service to provide smoke alarm and fire blanket</p> <p>Target Hardening to be completed on the property, additional locks and safety locks onto letter box</p> <p>Mum to ensure that all the family know the escape routes and how to call 999 in an emergency</p> <p>Foster Carer to put in place a 11 pm curfew and report Joe missing if he does not return home on time</p> <p>YOS to give Joe 2:30p.m appointments to avoid going missing during the day</p>	<p>Social Worker</p> <p>Social Worker</p> <p>GRAFT to refer to the Fire Service</p> <p>Humberside Police</p> <p>Mum</p> <p>Foster Carer</p> <p>YOS</p>	<p>Within 2 weeks</p> <p>Immediately</p> <p>Immediately</p> <p>Within 1 week</p> <p>Immediately</p> <p>Immediately</p> <p>From next</p>	

<p>YOS to revoke and re-sentence if Joe breaches current order and look at a YRO with electronic curfew to add additional surveillance</p>	<p>YOS</p>	<p>week If Joe breaches his Referral Order</p>	
<p>Mum and Joe to agree a safe word if Joe is in trouble and cannot say</p>	<p>Mum and Joe</p>	<p>Immediately</p>	
<p>Mum and foster carer to agree a safe word if Joe is in trouble and cannot say</p>	<p>Foster Carer and Joe</p>	<p>Immediately</p>	
<p>Joe to be issued with a mobile phone so that mum and professionals can keep in touch. Support workers numbers to be stored so he can contact them if he needs support or ever finds himself in difficulty.</p>	<p>GRAFT</p>	<p>Within 2 days</p>	
<p>Social Worker to explore a referral to Family Group Conferencing to look at re-building relationships</p>	<p>Social Worker</p>	<p>Family need to consent – SW to seek consent for referral</p>	
<p>NEET PA to look at training and employment opportunities as part of YOS intervention plan</p>	<p>YOS/YPSS</p>	<p>Within 1 month</p>	
<p>GRAFT Trauma Therapist to complete an assessment on Joe to look at his emotional and mental health – Joe would need to consent to this</p>	<p>GRAFT/YMM</p>	<p>GRAFT worker to arrange joint appointment for first meeting to</p>	

		discuss a referral to Young Minds Meters	
Pursue (Prosecute)			
Any intelligence to be shared with police using new PIF process	All agencies	Ongoing	
Police to carry out joint visit with GRAFT worker to see if Joe would be willing to divulge any information	GRAFT/Police	Within 1 week	
Other			
Due Date of Review	Date Reviewed		